# Compiler Design KTU S6 CSE CS304

May 30, 2019

# Contents

1.1       Introduction to Compilers       5         1.1.1       Interpreters       5         1.1.2       Difference between Compilers and Interpreters       5         1.2       Parts of Compilation       6         1.2.1       Analysis Phase       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2.1       Parser       6         2.2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Ellmination of Left Recursion       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15 <th>1</th> <th>Intr</th> <th>coduction to Compilers and Lexical Analysis 5</th>	1	Intr	coduction to Compilers and Lexical Analysis 5				
1.1.1       Interpreters       5         1.1.2       Difference between Compilers and Interpreters       5         1.2       Parts of Compilation       6         1.2.1       Analysis Phase       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack		1.1	Introduction to Compilers				
1.12       Difference between Compilers and Interpreters       5         1.2       Parts of Compilation       6         1.2.1       Analysis Phase       6         1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.1       Parser       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parsing       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1			1.1.1 Interpreters				
1.2       Parts of Compilation       6         1.2.1       Analysis Phase       6         1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1       Shi			1.1.2 Difference between Compilers and Interpreters				
1.2.1       Analysis Phase       6         1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1       Role of Parser       9         2.1       Role of Parser       9         2.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1.1 <t< th=""><th></th><th>1.2</th><th>Parts of Compilation</th></t<>		1.2	Parts of Compilation				
1.3       Lexical Analysis       6         1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.1.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6.1       Elemoving Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.2       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elemination of Left Recursion       12         2       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16			1.2.1 Analysis Phase				
1.3.1       Role of Lexical Analyser       6         1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       9         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       10         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.4.3       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.4       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing Algorithm       16		1.3	Lexical Analysis				
1.3.2       Input Buffering       8         1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1       Role of Parser       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       10         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         3.1       Stift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing <th></th> <th></th> <th>1.3.1 Role of Lexical Analyser</th>			1.3.1 Role of Lexical Analyser				
1.3.3       Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions       8         2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Di			1.3.2 Input Buffering				
2       Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing       9         2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers			1.3.3 Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions				
2.0.1       Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser       9         2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers	<b>2</b>	Syn	tax Analysis and Top Down Parsing 9				
2.1       Parser       9         2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser			2.0.1 Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser				
2.1.1       Role of Parser       9         2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       10         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       L(1) Grammer       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17		2.1	Parser				
2.2       Context Free Grammers       10         2.3       Derivation Trees       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing Algorithm       17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2.1.1 Role of Parser</td></td<>			2.1.1 Role of Parser				
2.3 Derivation Trees       10         2.3.1 Ambiguity       10         2.4 Top Down Parsing       10         2.4 Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1 Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2 Predictive Parser       12         2.5 Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1 LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6 Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1 Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3 Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1 Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1 Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2 Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1 Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2 LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4 Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5 SLR       17         3.3.6 Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7 LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7 LALR Parsing       19         4.1 Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19		2.2	Context Free Grammers				
2.3.1       Ambiguity       10         2.4       Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.6		2.3	Derivation Trees				
2.4 Top Down Parsing       11         2.4.1 Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2 Predictive Parser       12         2.5 Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.6 Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1 Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1 Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3 Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1 Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1 Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2 Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1 Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2 LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4 Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5 SLR       17         3.3.6 Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7 LALR Parsing       17         4 Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1 Syntax Directe			2.3.1 Ambiguity				
2.4.1       Backtracking Parser       11         2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19		2.4	Top Down Parsing				
2.4.2       Predictive Parser       12         2.5       Recursive Descent Parsing       12         2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19			2.4.1 Backtracking Parser				
2.5Recursive Descent Parsing122.5.1LL(1) Grammer122.6Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing122.6.1Elimination of Left Recursion123Bottom-Up Parsing153.1Shift Reduce Parsing153.1.1Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing163.2Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm163.3.1LR Parsing163.3.1Augumented Grammer163.3.2LR Parsing Algorithm173.3.3Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser173.3.4Difference between LL and LR Parsers173.3.5SLR173.3.7LALR Parsing174Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking194.1Syntax Directed Definitions19			2.4.2 Predictive Parser				
2.5.1       LL(1) Grammer       12         2.6       Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing       12         2.6.1       Elimination of Left Recursion       12         3       Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parsing       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       IALR Parsing       17		2.5	Recursive Descent Parsing				
2.6Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing122.6.1Elimination of Left Recursion123Bottom-Up Parsing153.1Shift Reduce Parsing153.1.1Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing163.2Operator Precedence Parsing163.2.1Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm163.3LR Parsing163.3.1Augumented Grammer173.3.2LR Parsing Algorithm173.3.3Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser173.3.4Difference between LL and LR Parsers173.3.5SLR173.3.6Canonical LR Parser173.3.7LALR Parsing194.1Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking19			2.5.1 $LL(1)$ Grammer				
2.6.1Elimination of Left Recursion123Bottom-Up Parsing153.1Shift Reduce Parsing153.1.1Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing163.2Operator Precedence Parsing163.2.1Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm163.3LR Parsing163.3.1Augumented Grammer173.3.2LR Parsing Algorithm173.3.3Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser173.3.4Difference between LL and LR Parsers173.3.5SLR173.3.6Canonical LR Parser173.3.7LALR Parsing174Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking194.1Syntax Directed Definitions19		2.6	Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing 12				
3 Bottom-Up Parsing       15         3.1 Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1 Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2 Operator Precedence Parsing       16         3.2.1 Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3 LR Parsing       16         3.3.1 Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2 LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4 Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5 SLR       17         3.3.6 Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7 LALR Parsing       17         4 Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1 Syntax Directed Definitions       19			2.6.1 Elimination of Left Recursion				
3.1       Shift Reduce Parsing       15         3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing       16         3.3       LR Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       IALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       IALR Parsing       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19	3	Bottom-Up Parsing 15					
3.1.1       Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing       16         3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19		3.1	Shift Reduce Parsing				
3.2       Operator Precedence Parsing			3.1.1 Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing				
3.2.1       Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm       16         3.3       LR Parsing       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19		3.2	Operator Precedence Parsing				
3.3       LR Parsing       16         3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         3.3.7       IALR Parsing       17         9       4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.2.1 Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm				
3.3.1       Augumented Grammer       17         3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19		3.3	LR Parsing				
3.3.2       LR Parsing Algorithm       17         3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.1 Augumented Grammer				
3.3.3       Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser       17         3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.2 LR Parsing Algorithm				
3.3.4       Difference between LL and LR Parsers       17         3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser				
3.3.5       SLR       17         3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.4 Difference between LL and LR Parsers				
3.3.6       Canonical LR Parser       17         3.3.7       LALR Parsing       17         4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.5 SLR				
3.3.7       LALR Parsing			3.3.6 Canonical LR Parser				
4       Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking       19         4.1       Syntax Directed Definitions       19			3.3.7 LALR Parsing				
4.1 Syntax Directed Definitions	4	Syn	tax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking 19				
		4.1	Syntax Directed Definitions				

## CONTENTS

	$4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 4.4$	Bottom Up Evaluation of S Attributed Definitions	19 19 10							
	т.т 15	Bottom In Evaluation of Inherited Attributes	10							
	4.0 4.6	Type Systems	10							
	1.0	4.6.1 Specifications of Simple Type Checker	19							
			10							
<b>5</b>	Run	Run-Time Environments and Intermediate Code Generation 27								
	5.1	Source Language Issues	21							
		5.1.1 Activation Trees	21							
		5.1.2 Scope of Declaration	22							
		5.1.3 Binding of Names	22							
	5.2	Storage Organisation	22							
		5.2.1 Activation Record	23							
	5.3	Storage Allocation Strategies	23							
		5.3.1 Static Allocation	23							
		5.3.2 Stack Allocation	24							
		5.3.3 Heap Allocation	24							
	5.4	Intermediate Code Generation	24							
		5.4.1 Syntax Tree DAG	24							
		5.4.2 Postfix Notation	24							
		5.4.3 Three Address Code	25							
		5.4.4 Quadruples	25							
		5.4.5 Triples	25							
		5.4.6 Assignment Statements	25							
		5.4.7 Boolean Expressions	26							
		5.4.8 Methods for Transalation of Boolean Expressions	26							
C	Cal	de Ontimination and Cada Commetion								
0	C00	Jaguag in Degim of Code Congration	41 07							
	0.1 6 9	Drin sinds Courses of Optimization	21							
	0.2	Principle Sources of Optmization	21							
	0.J		21							
	0.4	Sample Code Generator	27							

# Introduction to Compilers and Lexical Analysis

## **1.1** Introduction to Compilers

Programmers write in high level languages for convenience and increased productivity. But these high level languages cannot be directly executed by the computer. We use tools to convert these languages to low level ones.

Compilers are programs that take programs written in one language(preferrably high-level) and converts it into a low-level equivalent for execution.

Alongside conversion it also reports any errors that occur during the transalation process, after reading the whole program.

#### **1.1.1** Interpreters

Interpreters take the source program and execute it then and there. There is no conversion to intermediate object code. Since it reads it line by line, it has better error reporting.

Since Interpreter has to do the work of reading, parsing and executing the program every time it's much slower than the Compiler.

#### 1.1.2 Difference between Compilers and Interpreters

Compiler	Interpreter
Takes entire program as Input	Takes single instruction at a time
Generates an intermediate object code	No intermediate object code is generated
Conditional control statements are executed faster	Conditional control statements are executed faster
Memory requirement is higher as object code is generated	Memory requirement is less as no intermediate object code is present
Program needs to be compiled only once	Program is converted each and every time it's executed
Errors are displayed after entire program is processed	Errors are displayed after each instruction
eg: C, C++ are compiled languages	eg: Python, Ruby are interpreted languages

The compiler converts the program while going through different phases of transformations. See fig. 1.1

## **1.2** Parts of Compilation

There are two phases of compilation.

- Analysis : Breaks up the source program into constituent pieces and creates an intermediate representation of source program.
- Synthesis : Constructs desired target program from the intermediate representation.

#### 1.2.1 Analysis Phase

#### 1.2.1.1 Lexical Analysis (Linear Analysis)

Lexical Analyser separates characters given in the source language into groups that logically belong together called tokens.

Tokens - Meaningful sequence of characters in source program. eg : keywords, literals, identifiers

- Identifies whether given string or word is accepted in the language.
- Uses regular expression to match words.
- The output of Lexical Analyser is a Stream of tokens which is passed on to the next phase, the syntax analyser of parser.

#### 1.2.1.2 Syntax Analysis (Heirachial Analysis)

Consumes the Stream of tokens passed by the Lexical Analyser and groups them into syntactic structures.

- Checks whether the statement is acceptable in that language.
- Make use of Context Free Grammer.

## **1.3** Lexical Analysis

A class of meaningful sentences in a language is called Tokens. Lexeme is the instance of these classes. Patterns are rules to represent these tokens and are reprented using regular definitions.

#### 1.3.1 Role of Lexical Analyser

Lexical Analyser is the first stage in the stages of the compiler. It has two cascading phases

- Scanning : Scans each token into the lexer
- Lexical Analysis : Does the complex analysis part using the set of lexing rules



Figure 1.1: Execution of Program

### 1.3.2 Input Buffering

Input buffering is implemented to remove the efficiency issues concerned with buffering of i/p. Two buffer input scheme is used when look ahead is needed on i/p to identify tokens.



Figure 1.2: Input Buffering

Sentinals are special characters such as eof that does not change the meaning of the source code. We have two halves of the input buffer which are filled using one read command. The current lexeme to be processed is between the two pointers.

Initially both the pointers point to the beginning of the string. After they have done processing the current lexeme they are set to the first character of the new lexeme. When the forward pointer is about to cross to the right section new input is read and the right end is filled. When the forward pointer crosses over to the end of right side the left side is filled with new input read.

Three conditions are checked

- Check end of  $I^{st}$  buffer
- Check end of  $II^{nd}$  buffer
- Check end of file

#### **1.3.3** Specification of Tokens using Regular Expressions

Lexical rules are specified using Regular Expressions id = letter(letter + digit)\*

Regular Expression for Unsigned Number

$num \rightarrow digits.optional fraction.optional exponent$ (1	$um \rightarrow digits.optional fraction.optional exponent$ (1.1)
---	---

 $optional fraction \to digits/\epsilon$  (1.2)

$$optional exponent \to E(-/+/\epsilon) digits/\epsilon$$
(1.3)

# Syntax Analysis and Top Down Parsing

Syntax Analysis is the process of determining if a string of tokens can be generated by a grammer from an input string.

The input for a Syntax Analyser or Parser is a string of tokens and the output is a parse tree. The parse tree or the syntax tree is tree with leaves representing the string when read from left to right, but with a structure.

#### 2.0.1 Difference between Lexical Analyser and Parser

Lexical Analysis Synta	ax Analysis
Lexical Analysis is simpler as there is no need to preserve the structureSynta structPlatform DependentPlatform	ax Analysis is more complex as it requires the ture of the string to be preserved orm Independent

## 2.1 Parser

Parsing is the next step in compilation after lexing. Parser takes in the stream of tokens produced by the lexer and then constructs a parse tree based on the supplied grammer. The resulting tree is then passed on for furthur processing.

There are mainly two types of parsing

- **Top Down Parsing** : Top down parsing constructs the parse tree down from the root to the leaves.
- Bottom Up Parsing : Bottom up parsing constructs the parse tree up from the leavees to the root.

### 2.1.1 Role of Parser

The parser takes in the stream of tokens and outputs a parse tree.

- Lexer generates a token from the input string and passes it to the parser
- Parser verifies if the token is valid for the grammer of the language
- It calls the function getNextToken() to get another token from the lexer
- It scans all the tokens after receiving them and then constructs the parse tree.

• It checks the syntactic structure of the language.



Figure 2.1: Positon of Parser

## 2.2 Context Free Grammers

Context Free Grammers (CFG's) are a certain type of formal grammer containing recursive production rules that describe all possible strings in a given formal language.

A context-free-grammer G is represented by a tuple  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- $\Sigma$  is a finite set of terminals disjoint from V, they make up the actual letters used in the sentence. The set of terminals is the alphabet of the language defined by grammer G.
- An alphabet of V of non-terminal symbols or variables.
- *R* is the set of rewrite rules or productions of the grammer.
- A start symbol s used to represent the whole sentence or program.  $s \in V$ .

The process of generating valid strings from a grammer is called **Derivation** and the process of validating a string on the given grammer is **Reduction**.

## 2.3 Derivation Trees

Grammer can be represented as trees. The tree representation of a derivation is called Derivation Trees.

A derivation tree or a parse tree for grammer  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  is a tree with the following properties

- Every vertex is labelled with either a non-terminal or a variable
- The root node is always represented with S
- The internal nodes will always be a variable
- If the vertices  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$  with labels  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$  are the sons of vertex n with label A, then  $A > X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$  is a production in P.

### 2.3.1 Ambiguity

When the grammer produces more than one parse tree for a given grammer, then the grammer is called as Ambigious.In an ambigious grammer there may be more than one left-most derivation tree or right-most derivation tree.



Figure 2.2: Derivation Tree

# 2.4 Top Down Parsing

In top down parsing the parse tree is first constructed from the root and creating the nodes in preorder.

Top down parsing finds the left most derivation for a given string. Top down parsing is also called **LL()** parsing as it parses the input from left to right performing left most derivation on the sentence.

#### Drawbacks of Top Down Parser

- Infinite Looping : For the grammer  $X \to Xa$  when we expand X we can get into an infinite loop as X again derives X.
- Left Recursion :  $X \to Xa$  is an example of a left recursive production where X derives itself recursively and can cause infinite loop.
- Back Tracking Problem: When an errorous input is discovered the parser has to backtrack and delete up to the errorous input. This is complex to implement and error prone.
- Order of Alternatives
- No idea about errors

### 2.4.1 Backtracking Parser

The process of repeated scans of the input string is called backtracking. A backtracking parser will pass over the input multiple times to find the left most derivation tree.

#### 2.4.2 Predictive Parser

It is a tabular representation of recursive descent parser. A predicate parser predicts the next construction in the input string by using look-ahead-token added to the table, these can be used to eliminate backtracking.



Figure 2.3: Predictive Parser

First and Follow is used to generate the parsing table.

Tutorial on generating First and Follow Youtube Tutorial by Ravindrababu Ravula

## 2.5 Recursive Descent Parsing

It is a type of top down parser that built from a set of mutually recursive procedures where each procedure implements one of the non-terminals of the grammer. It uses this list of recursive procedures to recognize it's input without any backtracking is called recursive descent parsing.

#### 2.5.1 LL(1) Grammer

LL(1) parser is a table driven parser for left-to-left parsing. The '1' indicates that the grammer uses a look ahead of one source symbol.

# 2.6 Removing Drawback of Top Down Parsing

#### 2.6.1 Elimination of Left Recursion

 $X \rightarrow Xa$  is an example of a left recursive production where X derives itself recursively and can cause infinite loop. Top down parsers can't handle these type of grammer, hence these recursive rules must be eliminated.

Consider the following grammer  $A\to Aa/b$  , we can eliminate the left recursion by replacing part of production with

$$A \to bA' \tag{2.1}$$

$$A' \to aA'/\epsilon$$
 (2.2)

# **Bottom-Up Parsing**

Bottom Up parsing is a parsing technique in which the parsing tree is constructed beginning at the bottom and then working upwards.

## 3.1 Shift Reduce Parsing

It is a form of bottom up parsing in which a stack holds the non-terminals and an input buffer holds the string to be parsed. It is used to create a parse tree beginning at the bottom working to the top.

At each reduction the symbols on the right side are reduced by the symbols on t he left side of the production.

$$S \to aABe$$
 (3.1)

$$A \to Abc/b$$
 (3.2)

$$B \to d$$
 (3.3)

The sentence abbcde can be reduced by

$$abbcde$$
 (3.4)

$$aAbcde :: A \to b \tag{3.5}$$

- $aAde :: A \to Abc \tag{3.6}$
- $aABe : B \to d$  (3.7)
  - $S :: S \to aABe \tag{3.8}$

Reduction : Each replacement of the right side by the corresponding left side is called reduction.

**Handle** : The right hand side string which is reduced by the corresponding left side production is called handle. In the reduction  $aAbcde :: A \to b$  b is the handle.

**Handle Pruning** : The process of removing the right child of production from the parse tree is called as handle pruning.

#### 3.1.1 Stack Implementation of Shift Reduce Parsing

Shift Reduce Parsing is commonly implemented using a Stack with starting symbol \$ and input buffer.

There are four possible actions the parser can make

- 1. Shift : The next input symbol is pushed on top of the stack
- 2. Reduce : The handle at the top of the stack is replaced with the corresponding non-terminal
- 3. Accept : The parser has completed the parsing and the given string is accepted
- 4. Error: The parser encounters a syntax error and calls the error recoverty routine.

## **3.2** Operator Precedence Parsing

It is a method of Shift Reduce Parsing that only works on subset of context free grammers called operator grammers.

An operator grammer must satisfy the following properties

- 1. The right hand side of the productions does not contain an  $\epsilon$
- 2. No two non-terminals are adjacent

### 3.2.1 Operator Precedence Parsing Algorithm

Input : The precedence relations from some operator-precedence grammer and input string of terminals from that grammer.

```
repeat forever
1
     if only $ is on the stack and only $ is on the input
2
       accept and break
3
     else
4
\mathbf{5}
       begin
         let a be the topmost terminal symbol, b the current input symbol
6
         if a < b or a = b then
7
            begin
8
              push b on the stack
9
            end
10
         else if a > b then
11
            repeat pop the stack
12
              until the top stack terminal is related by <
13
              to the terminal most recently popped
14
         else call error correcting code
15
     end
16
```

## 3.3 LR Parsing

LR parsing is a type of bottom up parsing used to parse a large number of grammers. LR scans the input stream from left to right and constructs the right most derivation in reverse.



Figure 3.1: LR Parser

## 3.3.1 Augumented Grammer

If P is a grammer with a starting symbol S then the augumented grammer G' is a grammer with a new start symbol S' and a production  $S \to S'$ . The purpose of the new production is to indicate to the parse when it should accept he input and stop parsing.

## 3.3.2 LR Parsing Algorithm

### 3.3.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of LR Parser

## 3.3.4 Difference between LL and LR Parsers

#### 3.3.5 SLR

SLR refers to Simple LR parsing. It is the same as LR(0), the difference being the way the table is generated. It only performs the reduction with the grammer rule  $A \to w$  if the next symbol in the input string is follow set of A.

### 3.3.6 Canonical LR Parser

Canonical LR method which makes full use of lookahead symbols, this method uses a large set of items called LR(1) items.

## 3.3.7 LALR Parsing

It is based on LR(0) collection of items and has few space than typical parsers based on LR(1) items. Large class of grammers can be handled by LALR method.

# Syntax Directed Transalation and TypeChecking

- 4.1 Syntax Directed Definitions
- 4.2 Bottom Up Evaluation of S Attributed Definitions
- 4.3 L-Attributed Definitions
- 4.4 Top-Down Transalation
- 4.5 Bottom-Up Evaluation of Inherited Attributes
- 4.6 Type Systems
- 4.6.1 Specifications of Simple Type Checker

# Run-Time Environments and Intermediate Code Generation

Run Time Environment is a state of the target machine, which may include libraries, environment variables to provide services to the processes in execution.

## 5.1 Source Language Issues

A program consist of procedures, a procedure definition is a declaration that, in its simplest form, associates an identifier (procedure name) with a statement (body of the procedure). Each execution of procedure is referred to as an activation of the procedure. Lifetime of an activation is the sequence of steps present in the execution of the procedure.

### 5.1.1 Activation Trees

Each execution of the procedure body is termed as an activation of the procedure. The lifetime of a procedure is the steps between the start and the end of the procedure.

We can use an Activation Tree to depict the flow of control enters and leaves activation.

- 1. Each node represents an activation of a procedure.
- 2. The root represents the activation of the main program.
- 3. A node x can only be the parent of another node y if and only if the control passes from x to y.
- 4. Nodes to the left of another node should complete it's lifetime before the ones on the right.

Consider the given program

```
printf("Enter Your Name: ");
scanf("%s", username);
show_data(username);
printf("Press any key to continue...");
. . .
int show_data(char *user) {
    printf("Your name is %s", username);
    return 0;
}
```

The corresponding activation tree is



Figure 5.1: Activation Tree

## 5.1.2 Scope of Declaration

A declaration in a language is a syntactic construct that associates information with a name. The portion of the program which the declaration applies is called the scope of the declaration.

### 5.1.3 Binding of Names

The environment is a function which maps a name to a storage location. Each name declared in the program may denote different data objects at runtime.

An assignment changes state but not the environment. When the environment associates a storage location S with a name say X, we say X is bound to S.

## 5.2 Storage Organisation

The memory of program is subdivided into different parts to hold

- 1. Generated target code
- 2. Data object
- 3. Counter part of control stack to keep track of procedure activation

Since the size of target code is known at runtime it is placed in a statically determined area. Data objects known at compile time are also kept in the static data section.

When a function call occurs, execution of the parent activation is interrupted and information about status of machine is pushed on to the Stack.

A separate area of runtime memory is called a heap, holds all other information and dynamic data allocations



Figure 5.2: Storage Organisation

## 5.2.1 Activation Record

The information needed by a single execution of a procedure or activation is stored in a continguous block of storage called a activation record or frame. See 5.3



Figure 5.3: Activation Record

## 5.3 Storage Allocation Strategies

The different storage allocation strategies are :

- 1. Static allocation lays out storage for all data objects at compile time
- 2. Stack allocation manages the run-time storage as a stack.
- 3. Heap allocation allocates and deallocates storage as needed at run time from a data area known as heap.

#### 5.3.1 Static Allocation

In static allocation, names are bound to storage as the program is compiled, so there is no need for a run-time support package. Since the bindings do not change at run-time, everytime a procedure is

activated, its names are bound to the same storage locations. Therefore values of local names are retained across activations of a procedure.

From the type of a name, the compiler decides the amount of storage for the name and decides where the activation records go. At compile time, we can fill in the addresses at which the target code can find the data it operates on.

### 5.3.2 Stack Allocation

All compilers for languages that use procedures, functions or methods as units of user-defined actions manage at least part of their run-time memory as a stack. Each time a procedure is called , space for its local variables is pushed onto a stack, and when the procedure terminates, that space is popped off the Stack.

**Calling sequences**: Procedures called are implemented in what is called as calling sequence, which consists of code that allocates an activation record on the stack and enters information into its fields. A return sequence is similar to code to restore the state of machine so the calling procedure can continue its execution after the call. The code in calling sequence is often divided between the calling procedure (caller) and the procedure it calls (callee).

### 5.3.3 Heap Allocation

Heap allocation allocates contiguous storage as needed for activation records or other data objects.

## 5.4 Intermediate Code Generation

The front end of the compiler transaltes the source program into an internal intermediate representation from which the backend generates specific target code.

The benefits of using this artitecture is 1. The code can be compiled for a new machine artitecture by only porting the backend that generates the specific machine dependent code. 2. A machine independent optimizer can be applied to the intermediate representation.

### 5.4.1 Syntax Tree DAG

A syntax tree depicts the natural hierarchical structure of a source program. A dag (Directed Acyclic Graph) gives the same information but in a more compact way because common subexpressions are identified.

The syntax tree of the expression a := b \* -c + b \* -c

### 5.4.2 Postfix Notation

Postfix notation is a lineraized representation of a syntax tree, it is a list of nodes in which a node appears immediately after it's children.



Figure 5.4: Syntax Tree

#### 5.4.3 Three Address Code

Three-address code is a sequence of statements of the general form x := y op z, where x, y and z are names, constants, or compiler-generated temporaries; op stands for any operator, such as a fixed-or floating-point arithmetic operator, or a logical operator on boolean-valued data. Thus a source language expression like x + y \* z might be translated into a sequence

$$t1 := y * z \tag{5.1}$$

$$t2 := x + t1 \tag{5.2}$$

where t1 and t2 are compiler-generated temporary names.

#### 5.4.4 Quadruples

A quadruple has four fields, op, arg1, arg2, result. The three address instruction x = y + z is represented by plcaing + in the op field, y in the arg field, z in the arg2 field and x in the result field.

#### 5.4.5 Triples

They have only 3 fields which are called op, arg1 and arg2.

Indirect Tiples : They are list of pointers to triples rather than triples themselves. The advnatage is that an optimimiser can reorder instruction list without affecting the triples themselves.

#### 5.4.6 Assignment Statements

For transalting assingment statements we need to access the names of arrays and records must be accessed.

Lexeme for name is represented by id is given by attribute id.name. The operation lookup(id.name) checks if there is an entry for name and returns a pointer to the entry if found and null if not.

The procedure emit is used to emit three address code to the output file.

## 5.4.7 Boolean Expressions

Boolean expressions consist of boolean operations (AND, OR, NOT) applied to boolean vairables or relational expressions.

## 5.4.8 Methods for Transalation of Boolean Expressions

There are two methods of expressing value of boolean expressions

- to evaluate true and false
- to evaluate a boolean expression analogously to an artihemetic exp.
- Implementing a boolean expression by flow of control

# **Code Optimization and Code Generation**

The final phase in the compiler design is the code generator. It takes in an intermediate representations and outputs a target program.

# 6.1 Issues in Design of Code Generator

The following issues arise during the code generation phase

**Input to code generator** : The input to the code generator consists of the intermediate representeation of the source program produceed by a front end, together with information in the symbol table to generate runtime address of the names.

Intermediate Representation can be

- Linear representation such as prefix or postfix
- Three address based representation
- Virtual machine representation such as stack machine
- Graphical representation such as syntax trees or DAGS

Prior to code generation the input is scanned, parsed and transalated to intermediate representation along with neccesary type checking. Hence the input to code generation is said to be error-free.

Target Program : The output of code generator is the target program. The output may be

• Absolute Machine Language : It can be placed in a fixed memory location

# 6.2 Principle Sources of Optmization

- 6.3 Optimisation of Basic Blocks
- 6.4 Sample Code Generator